1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 6 BUNGIE, INC., 7 Plaintiff. 8 v. 9 C21-0811 TSZ PHOENIX DIGITAL GROUP LLC; 10 MINUTE ORDER DAVID SCHAEFER; JORDAN GREEN; JEFFREY CONWAY; and 11 JAMES MAY, 12 Defendants. 13 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge: 14 Defendants' trial motion for judgment as a matter of law pursuant to 15 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50(a) is DENIED. Drawing all reasonable inferences in favor of Plaintiff, there was a legally sufficient basis in the record for the jury to find for 16 Plaintiff on its copyright infringement claim. Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Prods., Inc., 530 U.S. 133, 149 (2000). 17 In light of the jury's verdict, docket no. 299, Plaintiff's trial motion for 18 judgment as a matter of law pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50(a) is STRICKEN as moot. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 50 advisory committee's note to 1991 19 amendment ("a jury verdict for the moving party moots" a Rule 50 motion "made at the close of the evidence"); see also Madrigal v. Allstate Indem. Co., No. CV 14-4242, 2015 20 WL 12748272, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 7, 2015). 21 (3) Attached as Exhibit A to this Minute Order is the Court's proposed judgment. The Court will award post judgment interest and has, in its discretion, 22 concluded that prejudgment interest is also warranted in this case. See Polar Bear 23 MINUTE ORDER - 1

1	Prods., Inc. v. Timex Corp., 384 F.3d 700, 716–18 (9th Cir. 2004). The rate of	
2	prejudgment interest will be the same as the statutory rate of post judgment interest, absent a showing that substantial evidence supports a departure from that rate. <i>See Blankenship v. Liberty Life Assur. Co. of Boston</i> , 486 F.3d 620, 628 (9th Cir. 2007). The parties are DIRECTED to file any objections to the Court's proposed judgment no later	
3		
4	than June 10, 2024. (4) The Clark is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of	
5	(4) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of record.	
6	Dated this 31st day of May, 2024.	
7	Ravi Subramanian	
8	Clerk	
9	<u>s/Laurie Cuaresma</u> Deputy Clerk	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

MINUTE ORDER - 2

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

BUNGIE, INC.,

(PROPOSED) JUDGMENT IN A

CIVIL CASE

v.

CASE NO. C21-0811 TSZ

PHOENIX DIGITAL GROUP LLC; DAVID SCHAEFER; JORDAN GREEN; JEFFREY CONWAY; and JAMES MAY,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

10

11

Jury Verdict. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

The Court having granted summary judgment against defendant Phoenix

Digital Group LLC ("Phoenix Digital") and in favor of plaintiff Bungie, Inc. ("Bungie") with respect to Phoenix Digital's breach of contract

defendant James May and in favor of Bungie on May's Computer Fraud and Abuse Act counterclaims brought under 18 U.S.C. § 1030 (First,

Second, and Third Amended Counterclaims of James May), see id., having dismissed with prejudice Phoenix Digital's Digital Millenium Copyright Act

("DMCA") counterclaim brought under 17 U.S.C. § 1201(a) against Bungie (Second Amended Counterclaim of Phoenix Digital), see Minute Order. (docket

no. 84), Bungie having voluntary withdrawn its trademark infringement and false designation of origin claims (Causes of Action Two & Three), see Pl.'s Trial Br.

at 2 n.2 (docket no. 220), and the jury having rendered a verdict in favor of Bungie and against Defendants on Bungie's copyright infringement claim (Cause

of Action One), see Verdict (docket no. 299), and against May and in favor of

counterclaim (First Amended Counterclaim of Phoenix Digital Group LLC), see Order at 12 (docket no. 201), having granted summary judgment against

12

13

X **Decision by Court**. This action came on for consideration before the court. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

14

15

16

THE COURT HAS ORDERED THAT

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MINUTE ORDER - 4

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
<u> </u>	2

Bungie on May's DMCA counterclaim brought under 17 U.S.C. § 1201(a) (Fourth Amended Counterclaim of James May), *see id.*, now therefore, judgment is hereby ENTERED in favor of Bungie and against: (1) defendant Phoenix Digital Group LLC in the amount of \$20,000; (2) defendant David Schaefer in the amount of \$10,802.50; (3) defendant Jordan Green in the amount of \$10,802.50; (4) defendant Jeffrey Conway in the amount of \$10,802.50; and (5) defendant James May in the amount of \$10,802.50. Bungie may tax costs in the manner set forth in Local Civil Rule 54(d).

Phoenix Digital Group LLC, David Schaefer, Jordan Green, Jeffrey Conway, and James May (collectively the "Defendants") are ORDERED to pay prejudgment interest to Bungie on the amounts owed from June 15, 2021, the date this case was filed, through the date of this Judgment at the rate allowed under 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

Defendants are ORDERED to pay Bungie post judgment interest on the amounts owed from the date of this Judgment through the date of final payment at the rate allowed under 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

Defendants are ORDERED to destroy all copies of *Destiny 2* or any derivative work thereof in their possession or control.

In addition, Defendants and their officers, agents, representatives, servants, employees, heirs, successors, and assigns, and all others in active concert or participation with Defendants are hereby permanently enjoined from:

- (1) Infringing, inducing, or enabling others to infringe Bungie's copyrights;
- (2) Creating, writing, developing, advertising, promoting, and/or offering for sale or otherwise any software that infringes Bungie's copyrights; and
- (3) Aiding or assisting another person or entity in any of the activities described in (1) and (2).

BINDING EFFECT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Permanent Injunction shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties and all successors, assigns, parent entities, subsidiaries, officers, directors, members, shareholders, distributors, agents, affiliates, and all other persons who are in active concert or participation with anyone described herein, who receive actual notice of this Permanent Injunction by personal service or otherwise.

23